REVIEW PAPER



Manipulating photorespiration to increase plant productivity: recent advances and perspectives for crop improvement

Marco Betti^{1,*}, Hermann Bauwe², Florian A. Busch³, Alisdair R. Fernie⁴, Olivier Keech⁵, Myles Levey⁶, Donald R. Ort^{7,8}, Martin A. J. Parry⁹, Rowan Sage¹⁰, Stefan Timm², Berkley Walker^{7,11} and Andreas P. M. Weber¹²

- ¹ Departamento de Bioquímica Vegetal y Biología Molecular, Facultad de Química, 41012 Sevilla, Spain
- ² Plant Physiology Department, University of Rostock, D-18051 Rostock, Germany
- ³ Research School of Biology, The Australian National University, Canberra ACT 2601, Australia
- ⁴ Max-Planck Institute of Molecular Plant Physiology, 14476 Potsdam-Golm, Germany
- ⁵ Department of Plant Physiology, Umeå Plant Science Centre, Umeå University, S-90187 Umeå, Sweden
- ⁶ Institute of Plant Molecular and Developmental Biology, Heinrich-Heine-University, 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany
- ⁷ Global Change and Photosynthesis Research Unit, United States Department of Agriculture/Agricultural Research Service, Urbana, IL 61801, USA
- ⁸ Institute for Genomic Biology, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, USA
- ⁹ Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YQ, UK
- ¹⁰ Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Toronto, 25 Willcocks Street, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M5S 3B2
- ¹¹ Carl Woese Institute for Genomic Biology, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, USA
- ¹² Institute of Plant Biochemistry, Cluster of Excellence on Plant Science (CEPLAS), Heinrich-Heine-University, 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany
- * Correspondence: mbetti@us.es

Received 10 December 2015; Accepted 8 February 2016

Editor: Marion Eisenhut, Heinrich-Heine-University

Abstract

Recycling of the 2-phosphoglycolate generated by the oxygenase reaction of Rubisco requires a complex and energyconsuming set of reactions collectively known as the photorespiratory cycle. Several approaches aimed at reducing the rates of photorespiratory energy or carbon loss have been proposed, based either on screening for natural variation or by means of genetic engineering. Recent work indicates that plant yield can be substantially improved by the alteration of photorespiratory fluxes or by engineering artificial bypasses to photorespiration. However, there is also evidence indicating that, under certain environmental and/or nutritional conditions, reduced photorespiratory capacity may be detrimental to plant performance. Here we summarize recent advances obtained in photorespiratory engineering and discuss prospects for these advances to be transferred to major crops to help address the globally increasing demand for food and biomass production.

Key words: Crops, food production, genetic engineering, photorespiration, Rubisco, yield improvement.

Introduction

There is an urgent demand for increased crop productivity due to the world's population growth, increasing global affluence, reduction of cultivable soils and higher demand for plant-based biofuels. The required increase in agricultural productivity required by 2030 may be in the range of 60-120% as compared with the levels of 2005 (Ort *et al.*,

[©] The Author 2016. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology. All rights reserved. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com

2015). A rapid increase in crop yield, especially for cereals, was obtained in the second half of the 20th century during the so-called 'Green Revolution'. Resulting from breeding strategies, this led to the introduction of new crop strains with a greater proportion of biomass partitioned into grain and greater inputs of fertilizer, pesticides and water. However, increases in yield for several major crops such as rice in recent years have been sparse (Zhu et al., 2010), and it is possible that actual crop yield is approaching the ceiling of maximal yield potential (Tilman et al., 2002). Further increases in nitrogen and phosphorous fertilization are unlikely to solve this problem and indeed many countries are currently attempting to reduce the levels of fertilization used in intensive agriculture. For these reasons, attention is being paid to the improvement of photosynthesis, a process that is still far from its theoretical maximum efficiency. Several recent reviews summarize the opportunities that have been identified so far to improve photosynthetic efficiency (Zhu et al., 2010; Raines, 2011; Maurino and Weber, 2013; Long et al., 2015; Ort et al., 2015).

Photosynthetic CO₂ fixation starts with the carboxylation of ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP), catalysed by ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase (Rubisco), to yield two molecules of 3-phosphoglycerate (3PGA). An unavoidable side reaction of Rubisco is the oxygenation of RuBP to produce one molecule of 3PGA and one molecule of 2-phosphoglycolate (2PG). Photosynthetic organisms evolved a complex pathway to recycle 2PG that involves reactions taking place in chloroplasts, peroxisomes, mitochondria and cytosol (Bauwe et al., 2010). In this photorespiratory cycle, two molecules of 2PG are transformed into one molecule of 3PGA and one carbon atom is lost as CO₂. The cost of the recycling of one molecule of 2PG is high (12.5 ATP per molecule of 2PG produced; Peterhänsel et al., 2010), and for this reason photorespiration has long been viewed as a target for crop improvement due to the seemingly wasteful nature of the cycle and the high energetic cost that it imposes on plant metabolism.

The cost of photorespiration is massive at both the leaf and the canopy scale. CO_2 is lost from photorespiration at 25 °C at about 25% of the rate of net CO₂ fixation (Sharkey, 1985; Sage et al., 2012). For example, photorespiration results in the loss of ~322 trillion calories annually in the US Corn Belt alone. Even a 5% reduction in photorespiration would be worth almost \$540 million a year in yield gain in this growing region (Walker et al., 2016). This high cost stems in part from the energy used in the reassimilation of the ammonia produced following glycine decarboxylation in the mitochondrion. Moreover, rates of photorespiration increase with temperature and the scarcity of water, as these conditions favour increased Rubisco oxygenation (Walker et al., 2016). It is thus not surprising that several groups have tried to develop plants with reduced rates of photorespiration with the aim of increasing productivity (Peterhänsel et al., 2013a). However, the view of photorespiration as a pathway that only aims at recycling the carbon of 2PG may be simplistic. In addition to photosynthesis, photorespiration interacts with several central metabolic pathways (Foyer et al., 2009; Bauwe et al., 2010; Fernie *et al.*, 2013), and both the relevance and the regulatory aspects of these interactions need further investigation. Furthermore, photorespiration may contribute substantially to the production of serine (Benstein et al., 2013; Ros et al., 2013) and has been implicated in the response to certain biotic (Taler et al., 2004) and abiotic stresses (Wingler et al., 2000; Voss et al., 2013). It was additionally recently demonstrated that there is a positive correlation between photorespiration and productivity (Aliyev, 2012) and between photorespiration and nitrate assimilation (Bloom et al., 2010). While most efforts are aimed at generating plants with reduced photorespiratory rates, the eventual performance of these plants in the field, and thus under stress conditions, needs also to be considered. Tantalizing results have been obtained by reengineering the photorespiratory pathway in model plants (Kebeish et al., 2007; Timm et al., 2012a), but the transfer of these manipulations to major crops and the demonstration of benefits under field conditions are still lacking. In this article we summarize the different approaches that have been used to manipulate photorespiration and their possible application for crop improvement.

Screening for plants with naturally reduced rates of photorespiration

Screening of mutagenized plants that show an altered phenotype under normal air conditions but not under conditions in which photorespiration is suppressed (CO₂-enriched atmosphere) has been carried out in several C₃ species, notably barley and Arabidopsis (Sommerville and Ogren, 1982; Blackwell et al., 1988; Foyer et al., 2009; Peterhänsel et al., 2010). This approach permitted the identification of the genes that encode the core enzymes of the photorespiratory cycle. However, the mutants obtained generally show poor performance under normal air conditions associated with different stress symptoms (Timm and Bauwe, 2013). In another approach, natural variants with reduced rates of photorespiration associated with higher yields were screened across broad populations. While preliminary trials carried out with tobacco gave promising results (Zelitch and Day, 1973), subsequent studies failed to identify plants with low levels of photorespiration paralleled by high productivity. Zelitch (1989) successfully isolated plants resistant to high levels of O₂, but the trait seemed more related to increased levels of catalase than to reduced rates of photorespiration. Other work of the same author identified tobacco plants with low photorespiratory rates and high catalase activity associated to higher yield, but this increase in yield was not robust across harvests (Brisson et al., 1998; Zelitch, 1992). Similarly, screening of mutagenized tobacco plants identified genotypes with higher yield at low CO₂ concentrations but the high yield trait could not be related to reduced photorespiration (Medrano et al., 1995). A more recent study that summarized the data obtained over 40 years of field trials using two major crop species, wheat and soybean, concluded that attempts to find highly productive genotypes with high photosynthetic but low photorespiratory rates are inconsistent, instead showing that the highly productive cultivars have high rates of photosynthesis accompanied by high rates of photorespiration (Aliyev, 2012). These results argue against the use of natural variation as a strategy to alleviate the yield penalty of photorespiration, suggesting that genetic engineering might be the only viable route.

Enhancing the amount of photorespiratory CO_2 scavenging

The CO₂ released during the decarboxylation step of photorespiration in mitochondria is not completely lost for the plant. On its way out of the cell, the released CO_2 can be refixed while passing through the chloroplasts (Sage and Sage, 2009; Busch et al., 2013). Some plants optimize this mechanism, known as photorespiratory CO₂ scavenging, by maximizing the likelihood of CO₂ passing through the chloroplasts. Chloroplasts can form a barrier that covers the cell wall space in order to trap photorespiratory CO_2 (Fig. 1). A tight association between mitochondria and chloroplasts can enhance this effect (Fig. 1; Sage and Sage, 2009; Busch et al., 2013). Some plants also enhance the surface of chloroplasts via stromules, connecting them into a net-like structure (Sage and Sage, 2009). Rice has such morphological features and it was shown that its CO₂ compensation point is lower than that of other C₃ crops not showing this morphological adaption (Sage and Sage, 2009). Similar to rice, the dicot C₂ plants *Flaveria pringlei* and *F. robusta* also show an association of these organelles and have a reduced CO_2 compensation point compared with other C₃ Flaveria species (Sage et al., 2013; Sage et al., 2014). Although the effect of this anatomical adaptation is not as big as the one found in C_4 or C_2 photosynthetic plants, it still counts as a considerable improvement (Sage et al., 2013). Therefore, installing this anatomy in a C_3 crop plant might be an alternative approach to optimizing the yield. Compared with other approaches, a modification of cell anatomy should have little impact on cell metabolism. To install this anatomy in a plant, a better understanding of organelle movement and partitioning is needed. Natural varieties of rice and other plants showing an enhanced chloroplast surface and tight connecting of the

three organelles should be analysed. Additionally a mutant screen of these varieties combined with RNA sequencing might reveal major regulators of the anatomy of cell organelles. Interestingly, in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, it was shown that stromules, which are used to enlarge the chloroplast surface, are established when plants are stressed with heat (Holzinger *et al.*, 2007). It would therefore be of interest to study mutant lines affected in stromule formation such as arc(s) (Holzinger *et al.*, 2008), or even lines affected in chloroplast movement such as *chup1* (Oikawa *et al.*, 2008) and compare the rates of CO₂ fixation of these mutants with the wild-type (WT) ones.

Introducing C_4 metabolism into C_3 species

C₄ photosynthesis greatly reduces photorespiration by concentrating CO₂ near Rubisco. With the exception of the socalled single-cell C₄ plants (Sharpe and Offermann, 2014), C4 plants have adopted different biochemical and anatomical modifications. C4 leaves have two distinct layers of photosynthetic tissue (the so called 'Kranz' leaf anatomy): mesophyll cells that are in contact with atmospheric CO₂ via intercellular air spaces, and bundle sheath cells with cell walls that are less permeable to CO_2 . HCO_3^- is assimilated in the mesophyll cells via phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase into oxaloacetate, which is then converted to a more stable fourcarbon organic acid, malic or aspartic acid, which diffuses to the bundle sheath cells (Gowik and Westhoff, 2011). Here the C₄ acid is decarboxylated, releasing CO₂ near Rubisco, which is located mainly in this cell type in C₄ plants. Given the higher efficiency of the C₄ photosynthetic mechanism under current atmospheric [CO₂], efforts are underway to install C₄ photosynthesis in C₃ plants such as rice (the International C₄ Rice Consortium, http://c4rice.irri.org/) and other crops (www.3to4.org). While the number of genes necessary for the main enzymatic reactions and transporters involved in C_4 photosynthesis is relatively small, the introduction of C_4 photosynthesis into C_3 crops will also require major changes in leaf anatomy (von Caemmerer et al., 2012). Initial progress toward the identification of the genes responsible for



Fig. 1. The effect of cover and positioning on photorespiratory CO_2 scavenging. (A) When chloroplasts (c) cover a large portion of the cell wall space adjacent to the intercellular air space (IAS) they provide a barrier for the photorespiratory CO_2 released by the mitochondria (m), which can then be reassimilated in the chloroplast. Tight associations between mitochondria and chloroplasts add to this effect. In addition, a high chloroplast cover reduces the resistance for CO_2 entering the chloroplast from the outside of the cell. Both processes increase the CO_2 concentration in the chloroplast and thereby reduce photorespiratory. (B) Conversely, low chloroplast cover and/or mitochondria that are not in close contact with the chloroplasts result in a lower capacity to scavenge photorespiratory CO_2 .

 C_4 anatomy has been reported (Feldman *et al.*, 2014; Rizal *et al.*, 2015). On the other hand, terrestrial plants capable of carrying out C_4 photosynthesis within a single cell were discovered about 10 years ago (Sharpe and Offermann, 2014). While these plants lack the typical Kranz features, they possess a subcellular separation that enables the concentration of CO_2 near Rubisco. The genes involved in the development of this peculiar subcellular anatomy are unknown. Considering the scarcity of sequence information for single-cell C_4 species, it is difficult to judge if single-cell C_4 metabolism can be bioengineered into C_3 crops.

Introduction of CO_2 -concentrating mechanisms into chloroplasts

Another strategy to reduce oxygenation and thereby photorespiration is to introduce a cyanobacterial CO₂-concentrating mechanisms (CCM) into the chloroplasts of land plants (Price et al., 2013). Cyanobacteria suppress the oxygenating reaction of Rubisco by concentrating CO₂ inside a proteinaceous microcompartment called the carboxysome. The β -carboxysome is constituted of an outer shell composed of several different proteins that enclose Rubisco and carbonic anhydrase, which maintains high CO₂ inside the microcompartment. The high $[CO_2]$ obtained near the cyanobacterial Rubisco suppresses oxygenation thereby increasing the catalytic efficiency of the carboxylation reaction of the enzyme. Furthermore, the use of a CCM would pave the way to potentially replace the native Rubisco with the cyanobacterial enzyme, which has a higher catalytic rate albeit at the expense of a lower affinity for CO₂ and lower specificity factor (meaning that it is more prone to oxygenating RuBP) compared with plant Rubisco (Price and Howitt, 2014). A completed cyanobacteria CCM in plants would reduce the amount of Rubisco needed to sustain photosynthesis and permit the allocation of nitrogen for other purposes, thus increasing nitrogen use efficiency (Zhu et al., 2004). The feasibility of introducing carboxysomes into higher plants was boosted by the demonstration by Lin et al. (2014a) that the shell proteins of the β -carboxysome could be assembled in *Nicotiana* benthamiana chloroplasts producing structures suggestive of carboxysome self-assembly. An exciting step towards the engineering of a CCM into a chloroplast was made by the same group, which transformed tobacco plants to express a functional cyanobacterial form of Rubisco together with proteins involved in the enzyme's assembly (Lin et al., 2014b). However, the engineered plants were able to survive only at high CO_2 concentration. This indicates that a stand-alone substitution of a faster Rubisco for the endogenous one does not provide advantages without the co-engineering of a CCM (Price and Howitt, 2014). Simpler CCM mechanisms have also been considered for the transformation of C₃ plants. For example, a recent work described the introduction of a cyanobacterial bicarbonate transporter into tobacco chloroplasts (Pengelly et al., 2014). The transformed plants expressed an ample amount of the foreign transporter but displayed the same CO₂-assimilation rates as the WT, implying that the transporter had little or no in vivo activity.

Rubisco engineering and screening for natural variation

Despite its central role in plant metabolism, Rubisco is a relatively inefficient enzyme (Carmo-Silva et al., 2015). In addition to its oxygenase activity, Rubisco also shows a relatively low k_{cat} value for CO₂ that obliges plants to produce very high amounts of the enzyme in order to sustain adequate photosynthesis, representing a large nitrogen investment (Zhu et al., 2007). Understandably, considerable effort has been made to address these inefficiencies by trying to engineer a more efficient Rubisco. One first challenge for replacing the plant endogenous Rubisco with a more efficient one is that the large subunit of the enzyme is encoded by a single chloroplastic gene and the small one by several nuclear genes. Transformation of both the nuclear and chloroplast genomes of the same plant is thus required in order to substitute a more efficient enzyme for the endogenous one. Given that the active sites of Rubisco are on the chloroplast-encoded large subunit (Andersson, 2008), it may be possible that changing only the large subunit will improve enzyme efficiency, but this would require the transformation of the chloroplast genome, a technique that is currently available only for a small number of species. High-resolution crystallographic structural data are available for several plant Rubiscos and were used in sitedirected mutagenesis approaches in order to try to improve Rubisco efficiency. However, this effort was hindered by the propensity of plant Rubisco to form insoluble aggregates when expressed in E. coli, probably caused by the lack of the complex network of chaperones needed for the correct folding of the plant enzyme in the bacterial host (Hauser *et al.*, 2015). For this reason, structure-function studies were carried out mainly with the enzymes from cyanobacteria and from the alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (Whitney et al., 2011a; Parry et al., 2013 and references therein). Another limitation to rational Rubisco engineering is our poor knowledge of the mechanism of Rubisco-catalysed oxygenation (Tcherkez, 2016). To overcome these technical difficulties, Whitney et al. (2011b) used transplastomic tobacco lines that expressed WT and mutated genes encoding the large Rubisco subunit from either C_3 or C_4 plants as well as from C_3 - C_4 intermediate species. Using this approach, the investigators were able to identify a single amino acid residue responsible for the different catalytic properties of the Rubiscos from C_3 and C_4 plants (low k_{cat} combined with low K_m for CO_2 and high k_{cat} combined with high K_m for CO₂, respectively). Together, these results have opened the door to further possibilities for crop improvement. In fact, the co-engineering of a C₄-type Rubisco with high k_{cat} for CO₂ together with a CCM in the chloroplast to compensate for its low affinity for CO_2 may in theory be able to greatly enhance C_3 plant yield. More complex approaches for the optimization of Rubisco via the manipulation of the activation state of the enzyme and its interaction with the various effectors that modulate its activity can also be envisaged (see the review of Carmo-Silva et al., 2015).

The enormous natural variability that exists between terrestrial plants can be exploited in order to develop new strategies for reducing photorespiratory losses. Plants have

developed several strategies, both anatomical and metabolic, to reduce photorespiration and compensate for its inhibitory effects (Sage, 2013). However, several of these mechanisms, such as the regulation of leaf temperature, regulation of stomatal opening, establishment of a CCM, etc., are generally controlled by large sets of genes, some of which are unknown. On the other hand, Rubisco is encoded by a small set of known genes and the natural variability of this enzyme among different plant species has been taken into consideration in order to look for more efficient forms of the enzyme. The Rubisco specificity factor (i.e. the ratio of carboxylation to oxygenation at any given ratio of $[CO_2]$ and $[O_2]$) displays some variation among the different C_3 species. For example, species growing in hot and dry environments seem to have Rubiscos with a higher specificity factor (Galmés et al., 2005), which may be taken into consideration as a criterion for selection of candidates to use in the substitution for the less efficient endogenous enzymes of different C₃ crops. While the potential of more efficient forms of Rubisco has yet to be exploited, several theoretical models suggest that changing the endogenous Rubisco for an enzyme with a more favourable specificity factor may improve crop yields (Zhu et al., 2004; Parry et al., 2011). It should be also taken into consideration that the Rubisco specificity factor may not necessarily reflect the effectiveness of the enzyme, depending on the mechanism of the oxygenation reaction, which is still not completely known (Tcherkez, 2016).

The natural variability of photorespiration is not only limited to the variation in the characteristics of Rubisco. Species-specific changes in the route are also possible, which implies that the pathway may be different from the basic 'textbook' version. For example, it was demonstrated that the conversion of hydroxypyruvate to glycerate can also occur in the cytosol (Timm et al., 2008). Arabidopsis may also show peculiar characteristics in the reassimilation of photorespiratory NH₃. Mutants of plastidic glutamine synthetase (GS_2) , the enzyme in charge of the reassimilation of photorespiratory ammonium, have been isolated in barley (Blackwell et al., 1988) and in the model legume Lotus japonicus (Pérez-Delgado et al., 2013) by screening EMS populations for the typical 'photorespiratory' phenotype. However, no GS₂ mutants have been found in Arabidopsis. Given that the mutagenesis screen that was carried out in Arabidopsis was probably saturating (for example, 58 mutants were found affecting Fd-GOGAT, the other plastidic enzyme involved in NH₃ reassimilation) and that Arabidopsis GS₂ is encoded, as in most plants, by a single gene (At5g35630), it is puzzling why GS₂ mutants were not isolated either in the original screening or by means of transposon insertion. Another example of variation in photorespiratory metabolism related to ammonia reassimilation can be found in conifers, where the plastidic isoform of GS is not present but, unlike other higher plants, a cytosolic GS isoform is expressed in photosynthetic cells, and photorespiratory ammonia is probably reassimilated through a cytosolic GS-GOGAT cycle (Avila et al., 2001).

Photorespiratory bypasses

Instead of trying to reduce the photorespiratory rates, a different approach is to install alternative and less energetically expensive routes for the recycling of 2PG. Three bypasses to the reactions of the photorespiratory pathway were successfully engineered in model plants (Fig. 2). In the first approach, glycolate was converted to glycerate directly in the chloroplast by introducing the Escherichia coli glycolate catabolic pathway, thus avoiding or at least competing with the peroxisomal and mitochondrial reactions of photorespiration (Kebeish et al., 2007). The second approach was to introduce a complete glycolate catabolic cycle that oxidized 2PG to CO₂ in the chloroplast (Maier *et al.*, 2012). However, while the 'Kebeish' bypass resulted in an improved energy balance, the 'Maier' bypass had higher energetic costs compared with the standard photorespiratory cycle (Peterhänsel et al., 2013b). Moreover, kinetic models of C₃ photosynthesis indicated that the installation of the Maier bypass should theoretically reduce the photosynthetic rate due to the decreased re-supply of RuBP (Xin et al., 2015). Despite this, both bypasses were reported to enhance biomass production by up to 30% although only under short-day conditions. In the case of the 'Maier' bypass, it is speculated that this benefit may be due to the release of CO₂ from 2PG oxidation directly in the chloroplast, which might increase the chloroplastic CO₂ concentration and reduce the probability of further oxygenating reactions (Peterhänsel et al., 2013b). A third bypass to photorespiration has been engineered by introducing the E. coli enzymes glyoxylate carboligase and hydroxypyruvate isomerase into tobacco for the conversion of glyoxylate into hydroxypyruvate directly in the peroxisome (Carvalho et al., 2011). While this alternative pathway may potentially reduce the cost of 2PG recycling (Peterhänsel et al., 2013b), hydroxypyruvate isomerase protein was not detectable in these tobacco lines, so its impact on plant yield remains to be proven. In a recent report, the introduction of the 'Kebeish' bypass in the oilseed crop Camelina sativa greatly increased yield of seeds, which may be used for the production of biofuels (Dalal et al., 2015). A partial Kebeish bypass was established in potato (Solanum tuberosum) by expressing the E. coli glycolate dehydrogenase polyprotein, resulting in an increase in shoot biomass and tuber yield (Nölke et al., 2014). These results suggested that part of the glyoxylate produced in the chloroplast by the bacterial enzyme may be completely oxidized in situ to CO_2 , probably by the action of the endogenous pyruvate dehydrogenase (Blume et al., 2013). It is interesting to notice that the beneficial effects of the Maier and Kebeish bypasses were observed only under short day conditions and optimal water and nitrogen supply (Kebeish et al., 2007; Maier et al., 2012), which may not necessarily reflect the conditions that crops will face in the field. Further testing of these genetically modified plants (GMPs) under different conditions would be needed in order to determine if photorespiratory bypasses may be beneficial also under field conditions.

Completely new bypasses can be also designed by taking advantage of the enormous amount of different enzyme



Fig. 2. Reported engineering strategies for the introduction of bypasses into the photorespiratory pathway. Pathways for the native photorespiratory cycle and for the photorespiratory bypasses are indicated. In black an abbreviated summary of the photorespiratory cycle and the Calvin–Benson cycle (dashed lines, shaded green; see Raines 2011 for more details). Shown in blue is the Kebeish bypass (Kebeish *et al.*, 2007), in orange the Carvalho bypass (Carvalho *et al.*, 2011) and in red the Maier bypass (Maier *et al.*, 2012). Abbreviations used for the metabolites: 2PG, 2-phosphoglycolate; 3PGA, 3-phosphoglycerate; Ac-CoA, acetyl coenzyme A; GA, glycerate; GL, glycolate; GX, glycoxylate; HP, hydroxypyruvate; MAL, malate; PYR, pyruvate; RuBP, ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate; TSA, tartronic semialdehyde. Abbreviations used for the enzymes: CAT, catalase; GCL, glycoxylate carboligase; GDH, glycolate dehydrogenase; GOX, glycolate oxidase; HYI, hydroxypyruvate isomerase; ME, malic enzyme; MS, malate synthase; PDH, pyruvate dehydrogenase; TSR, tartronic semialdehyde reductase.

activities that can be found in bacteria, algae and Archeae (see Ort *et al.*, 2015 for some examples). More ambitious approaches would be to design bypasses that involve intermediates that are not present in the plant or to genetically engineer a single enzyme able to degrade 2PG to CO₂ directly in the chloroplast. In a recent report, a synthetic pathway that worked both as a photorespiratory bypass and as an additional CO₂-fixing pathway, the hydroxypropionate bi-cycle, was successfully engineered in a cyanobacterium (Shih *et al.*, 2014). Simulated energy balance analyses can be performed in order to predict the potential benefits of a bypass to photorespiration (Xin *et al.*, 2015).

When designing synthetic routes for the recycling of 2PG, it has to be taken into consideration that alternative routes to the core photorespiratory pathway are already present in nature, although their physiological meaning and the flux that may pass through them are not known. For example, glyoxylate can be oxidatively decarboxylated to formate and CO₂ probably by a non-enzymatic reaction that takes place in the peroxisomes of higher plants in the presence of H₂O₂ (Igamberdiev *et al.*, 1999). Cyanobacteria, on the other hand, are able to enzymatically decarboxylate glyoxylate via oxalate by using an alternative pathway for the recycling of 2PG (Eisenhut et al., 2008). In barley mutants with reduced glycine decarboxylase (GDC) activity, the formate may be used to support the synthesis of serine through a GDC-independent pathway that does not release NH_3 , thus greatly reducing the energy cost of the photorespiratory cycle (Wingler et al. 1999a). As mentioned earlier, glyoxylate can be decarboxylated in the chloroplast by the action of the endogenous pyruvate dehydrogenase (Blume et al., 2013), and a cytosolic hydroxypyruvate reductase provides an alternative route for the peroxisomal conversion of hydroxypyruvate to glycerate (Timm et al., 2008). Several other possibilities for peroxide-mediated decarboxylations have also been proposed (Grodzinski and Butt 1977; Cousins et al. 2008; Keech et al. 2012), but the extent to which these reactions would happen under natural conditions still remains unclear. Further work should be carried out in order to assess the impact of these alternative pathways in plant photorespiratory metabolism and their possible interactions with synthetic 2PG recycling routes.

Optimization of the levels of photorespiratory enzymes

While the overexpression of Rubisco protein in rice does not improve photosynthesis (Suzuki et al., 2007), the analysis of dynamic metabolic models of photosynthetic carbon metabolism suggested that in some plants there may be an underinvestment of resources in the biosynthesis of Rubisco and of the enzymes of the Calvin-Benson cycle, and concomitantly an overinvestment in photorespiratory enzymes. This scenario may be responsible for a less-than-optimal photosynthetic efficiency leading to reduced crop yields (Zhu et al., 2007). However, this appears rather contradictory to recent studies in which the amount of photorespiratory enzymes has been modulated. For instance, different studies carried out in crop species indicate that antisense reduction of individual photorespiratory enzymes is associated with lower productivity. Potato plants with reduced levels of the GDC-P protein (Heineke et al., 2001) or of serine hydroxymethyltransferase (Schjoerring et al., 2006) as well as rice plants with lower levels of glycolate oxidase (Xu et al., 2009) showed reduced photosynthetic and growth rates. Moreover, a few studies have reported an improved performance of plants with increased levels of photorespiratory enzymes. Overexpression of the GDC-H protein or of the GDC-L protein in Arabidopsis resulted in enhanced net photosynthesis and plant growth (Timm et al., 2012a; Timm et al., 2015). Increased yields were not observed under an elevated CO₂ atmosphere, indicating that they were due to a facilitated carbon flow through GDC and the photorespiratory pathway as a whole. It is assumed that increased photorespiratory capacity may reduce negative feedback exerted by photorespiratory metabolites on the Calvin-Benson cycle thus enhancing CO₂ assimilation. Recent data suggest that 2PG levels could be of key importance in this coordinated control of photosynthesis and photorespiration (Timm et al., 2012b; Haimovich-Dayan et al., 2015). Overexpression of serine hydroxymethyltransferase, the enzyme that acts in conjunction with glycine decarboxylase to produce serine in the mitochondrion, was also able to improve photosynthetic efficiency and plant productivity in rice (Wu et al., 2015). Taken together, these results clearly indicate that the mitochondrial conversion of glycine to serine is a bottleneck of the photorespiratory pathway or is somehow otherwise involved in the regulation of photosynthetic activity. The recent discovery that serine may act as a metabolic signal for the transcriptional regulation of photorespiration (Timm et al., 2013) further supports this idea. In addition to the reactions involved in the glycine to serine conversion, the reassimilation of photorespiratory NH₄⁺ is probably another bottleneck of the photorespiratory pathway. Photorespiratory NH_4^+ is reassimilated by the action of GS_{2} , and it has been suggested that this reaction may be the rate-limiting step of the pathway (Wallsgrove et al., 1987, Häusler et al., 1994; Kozaki and Takeba, 1996; Hoshida et al., 2000). Plants that overexpress GS_2 showed an enhanced growth rate under active photorespiratory conditions (Migge et al., 2000; Zhu et al., 2014). Unfortunately, the growth of these GS₂ overexpressors was compared with WT plants under normal air conditions but not under a CO₂-enriched

atmosphere, so it cannot be ruled out that the increased yield was due to improved nitrogen assimilation rather than to an increased capacity for photorespiration (Migge *et al.*, 2000; Zhu *et al.*, 2014). However, the fact that mutants lacking GS₂ show a similar growth rate compared with WT plants under photorespiratory-suppressed conditions (Wallsgrove *et al.*, 1987; Betti *et al.*, 2014) indicates that GS₂ is probably not playing an important role in primary nitrogen assimilation. Moreover, overexpression of GS₂ confers resistance under stress conditions like salinity or high light (Kozaki and Takeba, 1996; Hoshida *et al.*, 2000). Taking into consideration the promising results obtained with these overexpressors, it would be also worthwhile to exploit natural variability and look for cultivars that already have higher or lower levels of photorespiratory enzymes.

Another important and often neglected parameter lies in the transcriptional and post-translational modifications of photorespiratory genes and enzymes. Different reports suggest that at the transcriptional level photorespiratory genes are regulated in a similar way to the photosynthetic ones (Foyer et al., 2009; Pérez-Delgado et al., 2013). On the other hand, metabolic data analysis of WT and photorespiratory mutants under different CO₂ and O₂ conditions suggests a fine tuning of photorespiratory metabolism (Timm et al., 2012b). Regarding post-translational modifications, it was recently shown that seven enzymes of the photorespiratory cycle could be phosphorylated (Hodges et al., 2013). Furthermore, looking to redox proteome data, it appeared that almost all photorespiratory enzymes could undergo oxidative modifications for some of their cysteine residues, and were therefore identified as potential targets for redox regulation (Keech et al., 2016). Undoubtedly, the next step will be to determine firstly the extent to which and the conditions under which the proteins or cysteines are modified, and the type of modifications that occur, and secondly whether these modifications positively or negatively regulate enzyme activities, and how they are controlled at the cellular level. Altogether, this clearly indicates that a rational bioengineering of plants with modified levels of photorespiratory enzymes would also benefit from an increased knowledge of the biochemical regulations inherent to this cycle.

Perspectives for crop improvement

As summarized in the above sections, several approaches have been used in order to manipulate photorespiration with the aim of increasing plant yield. However, most of these efforts have been carried out using model plants (with some notable exceptions like the consortium working on the transformation of rice into a C₄ plant, see http://c4rice.irri.org/). In light of the results obtained by recent field trials (Aliyev, 2012), it would appear unlikely that crops with improved photorespiratory performance can be obtained by screening for natural genetic variation, and they should rather be generated by means of genetic engineering. Unfortunately, transformation of our major crops is still a difficult and time-consuming process, even though it is getting easier and more successful every year (Scharff and Bock, 2014). Moreover, some promising approaches such as the engineering of the large subunit of Rubisco require the transformation of chloroplast DNA, a technique that is available only for a few crop species, notably tobacco, potato, tomato and perhaps soybean, but as yet not cereal species (Scharff and Bock, 2014).

Before tackling the genetic engineering of crop species, organisms for which transformation is more tractable such as algae and cyanobacteria can be used in order to obtain clues to the metabolic and physiological consequences of a targeted genetic manipulation. A second step may be the use of tobacco, a plant that is especially easy to transform both in the nuclear and plastid genomes and forms canopies in the field that are similar to those of food crops (Long *et al.*, 2015). Moreover, promoters and vectors that can permit high expression of transgenes and a correct subcellular localization of the protein product should be available for these species, together with strategies to avoid gene silencing and random insertion in the genome (see Ort *et al.*, 2015 for a more detailed discussion on this topic).

It should also be taken into consideration that crops with engineered photorespiratory pathways will be considered as genetically modified plants (GMPs), and the potential use of such GMPs will remain limited under current legislation, which furthermore can vary greatly between countries. For example in the European Union the authorization procedure for placing a GMP on the market is long, complex and expensive, regulated by directives that were approved more than 10 years ago (more details in Hartung and Schiemann, 2014). On the other hand, several million hectares of GMPs are growing in countries with less restrictive regulations such as the United States, Canada, Brazil, India and China, That said, several new molecular techniques based on the use of site-directed nucleases like TALENS (transcription activator-like effector nuclease(s)) or the CRISPR/Cas9 system have been developed in recent years (Araki and Ishii, 2015). The use of these genome editing techniques can lead to the production of plants that cannot be classified as GMPs under current legislations. The European Commission is currently evaluating the use of site-directed nucleases as well as other new breeding techniques in order to determine the extent to which they should lead to genetically modified organisms (Lusser et al., 2012).

Should we really look for plants with lower rates of photorespiration?

Photorespiration has been traditionally considered a wasteful and unavoidable process that needs to be minimized in order to improve plant yield. However, different lines of evidence suggest that reducing photorespiration may not necessarily always have beneficial effects.

(i) Plant productivity may be improved by engineering more efficient ways to recycle 2PG (i.e. photorespiratory bypasses) but also by an increased capacity for photorespiratory flux (see section 'Optimization of the levels of photorespiratory enzymes'). A higher photorespiratory capacity would reduce the levels of photorespiratory metabolites that may inhibit the Calvin–Benson cycle as well as increase the rate at which photorespiratory carbon is returned to the chloroplast in the form of 3PGA, thus facilitating CO_2 assimilation (Timm *et al.*, 2012b). Therefore, CO_2 assimilation may be improved either by bypassing photorespiration or by the overexpression of bottleneck enzymes of the cycle. The best engineering strategy to use will depend on the crop considered and the environmental conditions at the field level.

(ii) Energetically wasteful and useful are not necessarily antithetic to one another. As mentioned before, under stress conditions such as drought, salinity, cold, high light, heat or a combination of them, an excess of NADPH may be produced that could lead to an increase of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Peterhänsel et al., 2010). Photorespiration can act as a sink for this excess reducing power, and this welcome effect can be even more important considering that different stress conditions can increase photorespiratory rates (Kangasjärvi et al., 2012). Drought and salinity, for example, trigger a decrease in stomatal conductance, thus decreasing the CO₂:O₂ ratio and increasing photorespiration (Kangasjärvi et al., 2012). High temperatures also favour Rubisco oxygenation by decreasing the Rubisco specificity factor as well as the stromal concentration of CO₂ relative to O₂ (von Caemmerer, 2000; Kangasjärvi et al., 2012). It is not surprising then that attention has been paid to the role of photorespiration in the response to stress (Wingler et al., 2000; Voss et al., 2013). A direct relationship between the capacity for photorespiratory flux and the tolerance to abiotic stress has been described for different plant species under drought conditions (Wingler et al., 1999b; Li and Hu, 2015), salt stress (Hoshida et al., 2000), photoinhibition caused by high light (Heber and Krause, 1980; Kozaki and Takeba, 1996; Takahashi et al., 2007), chilling and exposure to heavy metals (Voss et al., 2013 and references therein). Moreover, several photorespiratory genes are co-expressed with genes involved in the resistance to aluminium, a stressor that can seriously constrains plant productivity, suggesting a link between aluminium resistance and photorespiration (Nunes-Nesi et al., 2014).

Since abiotic stress is one of the factors that most limits crop productivity worldwide (Mittler, 2006), the performance of plants with reduced capacity for photorespiration should be tested carefully under different stress conditions. Moreover, since most of the high quality soils available are already farmed, the rising demand for food would probably lead to the farming of crops in marginal lands with poorer soil and adverse climatic conditions (Long *et al.*, 2015). In such a scenario, the use of crops with high resistance to abiotic stress, and not only high yield under optimal conditions, would seem to be desirable.

Photorespiration has also been shown to play a significant role in the response to biotic stress, where the H_2O_2 produced by the reaction of glycolate oxidase in the peroxisome plays a central role in defence from pathogen attack (Taler *et al.*, 2004; Rojas *et al.*, 2012) and is part of the signalling route that leads to programmed cell death (Mateo *et al.*, 2004). Plants with reduced rates of photorespiration or engineered with alternative routes that bypass the peroxisomal part of the pathway may show increased sensitivity to pathogen attacks and should also be tested carefully.

(iii) Conditions that inhibit photorespiration such as elevated atmospheric CO_2 strongly reduce nitrate assimilation in

hydroponically grown Arabidopsis and wheat (Rachmilevitch et al., 2004; Bloom et al., 2010). This relationship has even been proposed to explain the lower-than-expected growth increases in plants under elevated CO₂ and explain why many C_3 crops and trees grow more slowly when fed with nitrate as a sole nitrogen source (Bloom et al., 2011). Recent evidence suggests that these hydroponics-based observations may occur at larger scales; it was shown that wheat grown under free-air CO₂ enrichment had higher nitrate pools and a greater ¹⁵N enrichment of both total nitrogen and nitrate, observations consistent with a decrease in nitrate assimilation (Bloom et al., 2014). While different physiological mechanisms may explain the inhibitory effect of elevated CO₂ on NO₃⁻ assimilation, multiple lines of evidence suggest that this may be due to the reduction of photorespiratory rates under elevated CO₂ conditions (Bloom, 2015a). In fact, photorespiration stimulates the export of malate from the chloroplast (Bloom, 2015a); this malate generates NADH in the cytosol and this is probably necessary for the reduction of NO_3^- to NO_2^- by the action of nitrate reductase. C_4 plants on the other hand assimilate NO3⁻ independently of atmospheric CO₂ concentration (Bloom, 2015b). Considering the low photorespiratory flux observed in this kind of plant, the supply of reducing power for nitrate reduction in C₄ plants should probably come from sources other than photorespiration.

Nitrate is the most abundant form of N in agricultural soils and is the major N source for most higher plants. This is despite the higher amount of energy that is needed for the assimilation of NO_3^- into organic compounds compared with other N sources such as NH_4^+ or organic forms of nitrogen. Taking this into consideration, it is possible that a reduction of the photorespiratory rates in crops that use mainly NO_3^- may lead to nitrogen deprivation. Reliance on NH_4^+ fertilizers may not always be possible in order to circumvent this since many plants show symptoms of toxicity when grown on NH_4^+ as the sole N source (Britto and Kronzucker, 2002).

In conclusion, different lines of evidence have shown that engineering of photorespiration may greatly improve plant CO₂ assimilation and growth. Several recent advances have been made in reducing photorespiratory losses in model organisms as well as in some plants of agricultural relevance. A great challenge will be the transfer of these advances to our major food crops, which are generally more recalcitrant to genetic manipulation. Nonetheless, a rational bioengineering of plants with altered photorespiration should also take into consideration that this pathway is tightly connected with several other aspects of plant metabolism, and a reduction of photorespiration may not always be beneficial, especially for plants growing under adverse environmental conditions. Finally, taking into consideration that NO_3^- assimilation depends on photorespiration, the manipulation of the photorespiratory pathway may also affect the rates of N assimilation and may favour the use of one N source over another.

Acknowledgements

This article was conceived during the discussion session 'Round table on future avenues of photorespiration research: crop improvement' held at the meeting 'Photorespiration – Key to better crops' in Warnemünde in June 2015. This work was supported by FEDER-Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, Spain, [project AGL2014-54413-R to M.B.].

References

Aliyev JA. 2012. Photosynthesis, photorespiration and productivity of wheat and soybean genotypes. Physiologia Plantarum 145, 369–383.

Andersson I. 2008. Catalysis and regulation in Rubisco. Journal of Experimental Botany **59**, 1555–1568.

Araki M, Ishii T. 2015. Towards social acceptance of plant breeding through genome editing. Trends in Plant Science 20, 145–149.

Avila C, Suárez MF, Gómez-Maldonado J, Cánovas FM. 2001. Spatial and temporal expression of two cytosolic glutamine synthetase genes in Scots pine: functional implications on nitrogen metabolism during early stages of conifer development. The Plant Journal **25**, 93–102.

Bauwe H, Hagemann M, Fernie AR. 2010. Photorespiration: players, partners and origin. Trends in Plant Science **15**, 330–336.

Benstein RM, Ludewig K, Wulfert S, Wittek S, Gigolashvili T, Frerigmann H, Gierth M, Flügge U-I, Krueger S. 2013. *Arabidopsis* phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase1 of the phosphoserine pathway is essential for development and required for ammonium assimilation and tryptophan biosynthesis. The Plant Cell **25**, 5011–5029.

Betti M, García-Calderón M, Pérez-Delgado CM, Credali A, Pal'Ove-Balang P, Estivill G, Repčák M, Vega JM, Galván F, Márquez AJ. 2014. Reassimilation of ammonium in *Lotus japonicus*. Journal of Experimental Botany **65**, 5557–5566.

Blackwell RD, Murray AJS, Lea PJ, Kendall AC, Hall NP, Turner JC, Wallsgrove RM. 1988. The value of mutants unable to carry our photorespiration. Photosynthesis research **16**, 155–176.

Bloom AJ. 2015a. Photorespiration and nitrate assimilation: a major intersection between plant carbon and nitrogen. Photosynthesis Research **123**, 117–128.

Bloom AJ. 2015b. The increasing importance of distinguishing among plant nitrogen sources. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **25**, 10–16.

Bloom AJ, Asensio JSR, Randall L, Rachmilevitch S, Cousins AB, Carlisle EA. 2011. CO₂ enrichment inhibits shoot nitrate assimilation in C3 but not C4 plants and slows growth under nitrate in C3 plants. Ecology **93**, 355–367.

Bloom AJ, Burger M, Kimball BA, Pinter JPP. 2014. Nitrate assimilation is inhibited by elevated CO_2 in field-grown wheat. Nature Climate Change **4**, 477–480.

Bloom AJ, Burger M, Rubio Asensio JS, Cousins AB. 2010. Carbon dioxide enrichment inhibits nitrate assimilation in wheat and *Arabidopsis*. Science **328**, 899–903.

Blume C, Behrens C, Eubel H, Braun H-P, Peterhänsel C. 2013. A possible role for the chloroplast pyruvate dehydrogenase complex in plant glycolate and glycoxylate metabolism. Phytochemistry **95**, 168–176.

Brisson LF, Zelitch I, Havir EA. 1998. Manipulation of catalase levels produces altered photosynthesis in transgenic tobacco plants. Plant Physiology **116**, 259–269.

Britto S, Kronzucker HJ. 2002. NH_4^+ toxicity in higher plants: a critical review. Journal of Plant Physiology **159**, 567–584.

Busch FA, Sage TL, Cousins AB, Sage RF. 2013. C3 plants enhance rates of photosynthesis by reassimilating photorespired and respired CO₂. Plant, Cell & Environment **36**, 200–212.

Carmo-Silva E, Scales JC, Madgwick PJ, Parry MAJ. 2015. Optimizing Rubisco and its regulation for greater resource use efficiency. Plant, Cell & Environment **38**, 1817–1832.

Carvalho JdeFC, Madgwick PJ, Powers SJ, Keys AJ, Lea PJ, Parry MAJ. 2011. An engineered pathway for glyoxylate metabolism in tobacco plants aimed to avoid the release of ammonia in photorespiration. BMC Biotechnology **11**, 111.

Cousins AB, Pracharoenwattana I, Zhou W, Smith SM, Badger MR. 2008. Peroxisomal malate dehydrogenase is not essential for photorespiration in Arabidopsis but its absence causes an increase in the stoichiometry of photorespiratory CO_2 release. Plant Physiology **148**, 786–795.

Dalal J, Lopez H, Vasani NB, *et al.* 2015. A photorespiratory bypass increases plant growth and seed yield in biofuel crop *Camelina sativa*. Biotechnology for Biofuels **8,** 175.

Eisenhut M, Ruth W, Haimovich M, Bauwe H, Kaplan A, Hagemann M. 2008. The photorespiratory glycolate metabolism is essential for cyanobacteria and might have been conveyed endosymbiontically to plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **105**, 17199–17204.

Feldman AB, Murchie EH, Leung H, Baraoidan M, Coe R, Yu S-M, Lo S-F, Quick WP. 2014. Increasing leaf vein density by mutagenesis: laying the foundations for C_4 rice. PLoS ONE **9**, e94947.

Fernie AR, Bauwe H, Eisenhut M, *et al.* 2013. Perspectives on plant photorespiratory metabolism. Plant Biology **15**, 748–753.

Foyer CH, Bloom AJ, Queval G, Noctor G. 2009. Photorespiratory metabolism: genes, mutants, energetics, and redox signaling. Annual Review of Plant Biology **60**, 455–484.

Galmés J, Flexas J, Keys AJ, Cifre J, Mitchell RAC, Madgwick PJ, Haslam RP, Medrano H, Parry MAJ. 2005. Rubisco specificity factor tends to be larger in plant species from drier habitats and in species with persistent leaves. Plant, Cell & Environment **28**, 571–579.

Gowik U, Westhoff P. 2011. The path from C_3 to C_4 photosynthesis. Plant Physiology **155**, 56–63.

Grodzinski B, Butt VS. 1977. The effect of temperature on glycollate decarboxylation in leaf peroxisomes. Planta **133**, 261–266.

Haimovich-Dayan M, Lieman-Hurwitz J, Orf I, Hagemann M, Kaplan A. 2015. Does 2-phosphoglycolate serve as an internal signal molecule of inorganic carbon deprivation in the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803? Environmental Microbiology **17**, 1794–1804.

Hartung F, Schiemann J. 2014. Precise plant breeding using new genome editing techniques: opportunities, safety and regulation in the EU. The Plant Journal **78**, 742–752.

Hauser T, Popilka L, Hartl FU, Hayer-Hartl M. 2015. Role of auxiliary proteins in Rubisco biogenesis and function. Nature Plants 1, doi: 10.1038/NPLANTS.2015.65.

Häusler RE, Blackwell RD, Lea PJ, Leegood RC. 1994. Control of photosynthesis in barley mutants with reduced levels of glutamine synthetase and glutamate synthase. Il Control of electron transport and CO_2 assimilation. Planta **194**, 406–417.

Heber U, Krause GH. 1980. What is the physiological role of photorespiration? Trends in Biochemical Sciences **5**, 32–34.

Heineke D, Bykova N, Gardeström P, Bauwe H. 2001. Metabolic response of potato plants to an antisense reduction of the P-protein of glycine decarboxylase. Planta **212**, 880–887.

Hodges M, Jossier M, Boex-Fontvieille E, Tcherkez G. 2013. Protein phosphorylation and photorespiration. Plant Biology **15**, 694–706.

Holzinger A, Buchner O, Lütz C, Hanson MR. 2007. Temperaturesensitive formation of chloroplast protrusions and stromules in mesophyll cells of Arabidopsis thaliana. Protoplasma **230**, 23–30.

Holzinger A, Kwoy EY, Hanson MR. 2008. Effects of arc3, arc5 and arc6 mutations on plastid morphology and stromule formation in green and nongreen tissues of Arabidopsis thaliana. Photochemistry and Photobiology **84**, 1324–1335.

Hoshida H, Tanaka Y, Hibino T, Hayashi Y, Tanaka A, Takabe T, Takabe T. 2000. Enhanced tolerance to salt stress in transgenic rice that overexpresses chloroplast glutamine synthetase. Plant Molecular Biology 43, 103–111.

Igamberdiev AU, Bykova NV, Kleczkowski LA. 1999. Origins and metabolism of formate in higher plants. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **37,** 503–513.

Kangasjärvi S, Neukermans J, Li S, Aro E-M, Noctor G. 2012. Photosynthesis, photorespiration, and light signalling in defence responses. Journal of Experimental Botany **63**, 1619–1636.

Kebeish R, Niessen M, Thiruveedhi K, et al. 2007. Chloroplastic photorespiratory bypass increases photosynthesis and biomass production in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Nature Biotechnology **25**, 593–599.

Keech O, Gardeström P, Kleczkowski LA, Rouhier N. 2016. The redox control of photorespiration: from biochemical and physiological aspects to biotechnological considerations. Plant, Cell & Environment , doi: 10.1111/pce.12713.

Keech O, Zhou W, Fenske R, Colas des Francs-Small C, Bussell JD, Badger MR, Smith SM. 2012. The genetic dissection of a short term response to low CO_2 supports the possibility for peroxide-mediated

decarboxylation of photorespiratory intermediates in the peroxisome. Molecular plant **5**, 1413–1416.

Kozaki A, Takeba G. 1996. Photorespiration protects $\rm C_3$ plants from photooxidation. Nature **384,** 557–560.

Li J, Hu J. 2015. Using co-expression analysis and stress-based screens to uncover Arabidopsis peroxisomal proteins involved in drought response. PLoS ONE 10, e0137762.

Lin MT, Occhialini A, Andralojc PJ, Devonshire J, Hines KM, Parry MAJ, Hanson MR. 2014a. β -Carboxysomal proteins assemble into highly organized structures in *Nicotiana* chloroplasts. The Plant Journal **79**, 1–12.

Lin MT, Occhialini A, Andralojc PJ, Parry MAJ, Hanson MR. 2014b. A faster Rubisco with potential to increase photosynthesis in crops. Nature **513**, 547–550.

Long SP, Marshall-Colon A, Zhu X-G. 2015. Meeting the global food demand of the future by engineering crop photosynthesis and yield potential. Cell **161**, 56–66.

Lusser M, Parisi C, Plan D, Rodríguez-Cerezo E. 2012. Deployment of new biotechnologies in plant breeding. Nature Biotechnology **30**, 231–239.

Maier A, Fahnenstich H, von Caemmer S, Engqvist MKM, Weber APM, Flügge U-I, Maurino VG. 2012. Transgenic introduction of a glycolate oxidative cycle into *A. thaliana* chloroplasts leads to growth improvement. Frontiers in Plant Science **3**, 1–12.

Mateo A, Mühlenbock P, Rustèrucci C, Chang CCC, Miszalski Z, Karpinska B, Parker JE, Mullineaux PM, Karpinski S. 2004. *LESION SIMULATING DISEASE* 1 is required for acclimation to conditions that promote excess excitation energy. Plant Physiology **136**, 2818–2830.

Maurino VG, Weber APM. 2013. Engineering photosynthesis in plants and synthetic microorganisms. Journal of Experimental Botany **64,** 743–751.

Medrano H, Keys AJ, Lawlor DW, Parry MAJ, Azcon-Bieto J, Delgado E. 1995. Improving plant production by selection for survival at low CO₂ concentrations. Journal of Experimental Botany **46**, 1389–1396.

Migge A, Carrayol E, Hirel B, Becker TW. 2000. Leaf-specific overexpression of plastidic glutamine synthetase stimulates the growth of transgenic tobacco seedlings. Planta **210**, 252–260.

Mittler R. 2006. Abiotic stress, the field environment and stress combination. Trends in Plant Science **11**, 15–19.

Nölke G, Houdelet M, Kreuzaler F, Peterhänsel C, Schillberg S. 2014. The expression of a recombinant glycolate dehydrogenase polyprotein in potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) plastids strongly enhances photosynthesis and tuber yield. Plant Biotechnology Journal **12**, 734–742.

Nunes-Nesi A, Santos Brito D, Inostroza-Blacheteau C, Fernie AR, Araújo W. 2014. The complex role of mitochondrial metabolism in plant aluminum tolerance. Trends in Plant Science **19**, 399–407.

Oikawa K, Yamasato A, Kong S-G, Kasahara M, Nakai M, Takahashi F, Ogura Y, Kagawa T, Wada M. 2008. Chloroplast outer envelope protein CHUP1 is essential for chloroplast anchorage to the plasma membrane and chloroplast movement. Plant Physiology **148**, 829–842.

Ort DR, Merchant SS, Alric J, et al. 2015. Redesigning photosynthesis to sustainability meet global food and energy demand. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **112**, 8529–8536.

Parry MAJ, Andralojc PJ, Scales JC, Salvucci ME, Carmo-Silva E, Alonso H, Whitney SM. 2013. Rubisco activity and regulation as targets for crop improvement. Journal of Experimental Botany **64**, 717–730.

Parry MAJ, Reynolds M, Salvucci ME, Raines C, Andralojc PJ, Zhu X-G, Price GD, Condon AG, Furbank RT. 2011. Raising yield potential of wheat. II. Increasing photosynthetic capacity and efficiency. Journal of Experimental Botany 62, 453–467.

Pengelly JJL, Förster B, von Caemmerer S, Badger MR, Whitney SM. 2014. Transplastomic integration of a cyanobacterial bicarbonate transporter into tobacco chloroplasts. Journal of Experimental Botany **65**, 3071–3080.

Pérez-Delgado CM, García-Calderón M, Sánchez DH, Udvardi M, Kopka J, Márquez AJ, Betti M. 2013. Transcriptomic and metabolic changes associated with photorespiratory ammonium accumulation in the model legume *Lotus japonicus*. Plant Physiology **162**, 1834–1848.

Peterhänsel C, Blume C, Offermann S. 2013b. Photorespiratory bypasses: how can they work? Journal of Experimental Botany **64**, 709–715.

Peterhänsel C, Horst I, Niessen M, Blume C, Kebeish R, Kürkcüoglu S, Kreuzaler F. 2010. Photorespiration. The Arabidopsis Book 8, e0130.

Peterhänsel C, Krause K, Braun H-P, Espie GS, Fernie AR, Hanson DT, Keech O, Maurino VG, Mielewczik M, Sage RF. 2013a. Engineering photorespiration: current state and future possibilities. Plant Biology **15**, 754–758.

Price GD, Howitt SM. 2014. Towards turbocharged photosynthesis. Nature **513**, 497–498.

Price GD, Pengelly JJL, Forster B, Du J, Whitney SM, von Caemmerer S, Badger MR, Howitt SM, Evans JR. 2013. The cyanobacterial CCM as a source of genes for improving photosynthetic CO₂ fixation in crop species. Journal of Experimental Botany **64,** 753–768.

Rachmilevitch S, Cousins AB, Bloom AJ. 2004. Nitrate assimilation in plant shoots depends on photorespiration. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **101**, 11506–11510.

Raines CA. 2011. Increasing photosynthetic carbon assimilation in C_3 plants to improve crop yield: current and future strategies. Plant Physiology **155**, 36–42.

Rizal G, Thakur V, Dionora J, et al. 2015. Two forward genetic screens for vein density mutants in sorghum converge on a cytochrome p450 gene in the brassinosteroid pathway. The Plant Journal **84,** 257–266.

Rojas CM, Senthil-Kumar M, Wang K, Ryu C-M, Kaundal A, Mysore KS. 2012. Glycolate oxidase modulates reactive oxygen species–mediated signal transduction during nonhost resistance in Nicotiana benthamiana and Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **24**, 336–352.

Ros R, Cascales-Miñana B, Segura J, Anoman AD, Toujani W, Flores-Tornero M, Rosa-Tellez S, Muñoz-Bartolomeu J. 2013. Serine biosynthesis by photorespiratory and non-photorespiratory pathways: an interesting interplay with unknown regulatory networks. Plant Biology **15**, 707–712.

Sage RF. 2013. Photorespiratory compensation: a driver for biological diversity. Plant Biology **15**, 624–638.

Sage RF, Khoshravesh R, Sage TL. 2014. From proto-Kranz to C_4 Kranz: building the bridge to C_4 photosynthesis. Journal of Experimental Botany **65**, 3341–3356.

Sage RF, Sage TL, Kocacinar F. 2012. Photorespiration and the evolution of C_4 photosynthesis. Annual Review of Plant Biology **63**, 19–47.

Sage TL, Busch FA, Johnson DC, Friesen PC, Stinson CR, Stata M, Sultmanis S, Rahman BA, Rawsthorne S, Sage RF. 2013. Initial events during the evolution of C_4 photosynthesis in C_3 species of Flaveria. Plant Physiology **163**, 1266–1276.

Sage TL, Sage RF. 2009. The functional anatomy of rice leaves: implications for refixation of photorespiratory CO_2 and efforts to engineer C_4 photosynthesis into rice. Plant & Cell Physiology **50**, 756–772.

Sharkey T. 1985. Photosynthesis in intact leaves of C_3 plants: Physics, physiology and rate limitations. The Botanical Review **51**, 53–105.

Scharff LB, Bock R. 2014. Synthetic biology in plastids. The Plant Journal 78, 783–798.

Sharpe RM, Offermann S. 2014. One decade after the discovery of single-cell C_4 species in terrestrial plants: what did we learn about the minimal requirement of C_4 photosynthesis? Photosynthesis Research **119**, 169–180.

Schjoerring JK, Mäck G, Nielsen KH, Husted S, Suzuki A, Driscoll S, Boldt R, Bauwe H. 2006. Antisense reduction of serine hydroxymethyltransferase results in diurnal displacement of NH_4^+ assimilation in leaves of *Solanum tuberosum*. The Plant Journal **45**, 71–82.

Shih PM, Zarzycki J, Niyogi KK, Kerfeld CA. 2014. Introduction of a synthetic CO₂-fixing photorespiratory bypass into a cyanobacterium. Journal of Biological Chemistry **289**, 9493–9500.

Sommerville CR, Ogren WL. 1982. Genetic modification of photorespiration. Trends in Biochemical Science **7**, 171–174.

Suzuki Y, Ohkubo M, Hatakeyama H, Ohashi K, Yoshizawa R, Kojima S, Hayakawa T, Yamaya T, Mae T, Makino A. 2007. Increased Rubisco content in transgenic rice transformed with the "Sense" rbcS gene. Plant and Cell Physiology **48**, 626–637.

Takahashi S, Bauwe H, Badger M. 2007. Impairment of the photorespiratory pathway accelerates photoinhibition of photosystem II by suppression of repair but not acceleration of damage processes in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology **144**, 487–494.

Taler D, Galperin M, Benjamin I, Cohen Y, Kenigsbuch D. 2004. Plant eR genes that encode photorespiratory enzymes confer resistance against disease. The Plant Cell **16**, 172–184.

Tcherkez G. 2016. The mechanism of Rubisco-catalysed oxygenation. Plant, Cell & Environment doi: 10.1111/pce.12629 (in press).

Tilman D, Cassman KG, Matson PA, Naylor R, Polasky S. 2002. Agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices. Nature **418**, 671–677.

Timm S, Bauwe H. 2013. The variety of photorespiratory phenotypes – employing the current status for future research directions on photorespiration. Plant Biology **15**, 737–747.

Timm S, Florian A, Arrivault S, Stitt M, Fernie AR, Bauwe H. 2012a. Glycine decarboxylase controls photosynthesis and plant growth. FEBS Letters **586**, 3692–3697.

Timm S, Florian A, Wittmiβ M, Jahnke K, Hagemann M, Fernie AR, Bauwe H. 2013. Serine acts as a metabolic signal for the transcriptional control of photorespiration-related genes in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology 162, 379–389.

Timm S, Mielewczik M, Florian A, Frankenbach S, Dreissen A, Hocken N, Fernie AR, Walter A, Bauwe H. 2012b. High-to-low CO₂ acclimation reveals plasticity of the photorespiratory pathway and indicates regulatory links to cellular metabolism of Arabidopsis. PLoS ONE **7**, e42809.

Timm S, Nunes-Nesi A, Pärnik T, Morgenthal K, Wienkoop S, Keerberg O, Weckwerth W, Kleczkowski LA, Fernie AR, Bauwe H. 2008. A cytosolic pathway for the conversion of hydroxypyruvate to glycerate during photorespiration in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell **20**, 2848–2859.

Timm S, Wittmiß M, Gamlien S, Ewald R, Florian A, Frank M, Wirtz M, Hell R, Fernie AR, Bauwe H. 2015. Mitochondrial dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase activity shapes photosynthesis and photorespiration of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The Plant Cell **27**, 1968–1984.

Voss I, Sunil B, Scheibe R, Raghavendra AS. 2013. Emerging concept for the role of photorespiration as an important part of abiotic stress response. Plant Biology **15,** 713–722.

von Caemmerer S. 2000. Biochemical models of leaf photosynthesis . Collingwood, Australia: CSIRO Publishing.

von Caemmerer S, Quick WP, Furbank RT. 2012. The development of C_4 rice: current progress and future challenges. Science **336**, 1671–1672.

Walker BJ, Van Loocke A, Bernacchi CJ, Ort DR. 2016. The cost of photorespiration to food production now and in the future. Annual Review of Plant Biology **67, doi:** 10.1146/annurev-arplant-043015-111709.

Wallsgrove RM, Turner JC, Hall NP, Kendall AC, Bright SW. 1987. Barley mutants lacking chloroplast glutamine synthetase – biochemical and genetic analysis. Plant Physiology **83**, 155–158.

Whitney SM, Houtz R, Alonso H. 2011a. Advancing our understanding and capacity to engineer nature's CO_2 -sequestering enzyme, Rubisco. Plant Physiology **155**, 27–35.

Whitney SM, Sharwood RE, Orr D, White SJ, Alonso H, Galmés J. 2011b. Isoleucine 309 acts as a C₄ catalytic switch that increases ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (rubisco) carboxylation rate in *Flaveria*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **108**, 14688–14693.

Wingler A, Lea PJ, Leegood RC. 1999a. Photorespiratory metabolism of glyoxylate and formate in glycine-accumulating mutants of barley and *Amaranthus edulis*. Planta **207**, 518–526.

Wingler A, Lea PJ, Quick P, Leegood RC. 2000. Photorespiration: metabolic pathways and their role in stress protection. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences **355**, 1517–1529.

Wingler A, Quick WP, Bungard RA, Bailey KJ, Lea PJ, Leegood RC. 1999b. The role of photorespiration during drought stress: an analysis utilizing barley mutants with reduced activities of photorespiratory enzymes. Plant, Cell & Environment **22**, 361–373.

Wu J, Zhang Z, Zhang Q, Han X, Hu X, Lu T. 2015. The molecular cloning and clarification of a photorespiratory mutant, oscdm1, using enhancer trapping. Frontiers in Genetics 6, 226.

Xin C-P, Tholen D, Devloo V, Zhu X-G. 2015. The benefits of photorespiratory bypasses: how can they work? Plant Physiology **167**, 574–585.

2988 | Betti et al.

Xu H, Zhang J, Zeng J, Jiang L, Liu E, Peng C, He Z, Peng X. 2009. Inducible antisense suppression of glycolate oxidase reveals its strong regulation over photosynthesis in rice. Journal of Experimental Botany **60**, 1799–1809.

Zelitch I. 1989. Selection and characterization of tobacco plants with novel O₂-resistant photosynthesis. Plant Physiology **90**, 1457–1464.

Zelitch I. 1992. Control of plant productivity by regulation of photorespiration. Bioscience **42**, 510–516.

Zelitch I, Day PR. 1973. The effect on net photosynthesis of pedigree selection for low and high rates of photorespiration in tobacco. Plant Physiology **52**, 33–37.

Zhu C, Fan Q, Wang W, Shen C, Meng X, Tang Y, Mei B, Xu Z, Song R. 2014. Characterization of a glutamine synthetase gene *DvGS2* from *Dunaliella virdis* and biochemical identification of DvGS2-transgenic *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Gene **536**, 407–415.

Zhu X-G, de Sturler E, Long SP. 2007. Optimizing the distribution of resources between enzymes of carbon metabolism can dramatically increase photosynthetic rate: a numeric simulation using an evolutionary algorithm. Plant Physiology **145**, 513–526.

Zhu X-G, Long SP, Ort DR. 2010. Improving photosynthetic efficiency for greater yield. Annual Review of Plant Biology **61**, 235–261.

Zhu X-G, Portis AR, Long SP. 2004. Would transformation of C_3 crop plants with foreign RUBISCO increase productivity? A computational analysis extrapolating from kinetic properties to canopy photosynthesis. Plant, Cell & Environment **27**, 155–165.